

# REVISITING FARRELL'S NONFINITENESS OF NIL

JEAN-FRANÇOIS LAFONT<sup>†</sup>, STRATOS PRASSIDIS\*, AND KUN WANG\*

**ABSTRACT.** We study Farrell Nil-groups associated to a finite order automorphism of a ring  $R$ . We show that any such Farrell Nil-group is either trivial, or infinitely generated (as an abelian group). Building on this first result, we then show that any finite group that occurs in such a Farrell Nil-group occurs with infinite multiplicity. If the original finite group is a direct summand, then the countably infinite sum of the finite subgroup also appears as a direct summand. Finally, as an application, we show that if  $V$  is any virtually cyclic group, then the associated Farrell or Waldhausen Nil-groups can always be expressed as a countably infinite sum of copies of a finite group.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a ring  $R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ , one can form the twisted polynomial ring  $R_\alpha[t]$ , which as an additive group coincides with the polynomial ring  $R[t]$ , but with product given by  $(rt^i)(st^j) = r\alpha^{-i}(s)t^{i+j}$ . There is a natural augmentation map  $\varepsilon : R_\alpha[t] \rightarrow R$  induced by setting  $\varepsilon(t) = 0$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the *Farrell twisted Nil-groups*  $NK_i(R, \alpha) := \ker(\varepsilon_*)$  are defined to be the kernels of the induced  $K$ -theory map  $\varepsilon_* : K_i(R_\alpha[t]) \rightarrow K_i(R)$ . This induced map is split injective, hence  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$  can be viewed as a direct summand in  $K_i(R_\alpha[t])$ . In the special case where the automorphism  $\alpha$  is the identity, the ring  $R_\alpha[t]$  is just the ordinary polynomial ring  $R[t]$ , and the Farrell twisted Nil reduces to the ordinary *Bass Nil-groups*, which we just denote by  $NK_i(R)$ . We establish the following:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  a ring automorphism of finite order, and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$  is either trivial, or infinitely generated as an abelian group.*

The proof of this result relies heavily on a method developed by Farrell [5], who first showed in 1977 that the lower Bass Nil-groups  $NK_*(R)$  with  $* \leq 1$ , are always either trivial, or infinitely generated. This result was subsequently extended to the higher Bass Nil-groups  $NK_*(R)$  with  $* \geq 1$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Nil-groups.

<sup>†</sup> The research of the first author is partially supported by the NSF, under grant DMS-1207782.

\*The research of the second author has been co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund - ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program: THALIS.

\*The research of the third author is partially supported by an Ohio State University Presidential Fellowship award.

by Prasolov [17] (see also van der Kallen [13]). For Farrell's twisted Nils, when the automorphism  $\alpha$  has finite order, Grunewald [9] and Ramos [19] independently established the corresponding result for  $NK_*(R, \alpha)$  when  $* \leq 1$ . All these papers used the same basic idea, which we call *Farrell's Lemma*. We exploit the same idea, and establish our own version of Farrell's Lemma (and prove the theorem) in Section 3.

Next we refine somewhat the information we have on these Farrell Nils, by focusing on the finite subgroups arising as direct summands. In section 4, we establish:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  a ring automorphism of finite order, and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $H \leq NK_i(R, \alpha)$  is a finite subgroup, then  $\bigoplus_{\infty} H$  also appears as a subgroup of  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$ . Moreover, if  $H$  is a direct summand in  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$ , then so is  $\bigoplus_{\infty} H$ .*

In the statement above, and throughout the paper,  $\bigoplus_{\infty} H$  denotes the direct sum of countably infinitely many copies of the group  $H$ . For our next application, we recall that there is, for any group  $G$ , an assembly map  $h_n^G(\underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ , where  $h_*^?$  denotes the specific equivariant generalized homology theory appearing in the  $K$ -theoretic Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjecture, and  $\underline{E}G$  is a model for the classifying space for proper  $G$ -actions. We refer the reader to Section 5 for a discussion of these notions, as well as for the proof of:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $V$  be any virtually cyclic group, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists a finite group  $H := H(V, n)$  with the property that there is an isomorphism:*

$$\bigoplus_{\infty} H \cong \text{CoKer}\left(h_n^V(\underline{E}V) \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Z}[V])\right)$$

We conclude the paper with some general remarks and open questions in Section 6.

## 2. SOME EXACT FUNCTORS

In this section, we define various functors that will be used in our proofs. Let  $R$  be an associative ring with unit and  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be a ring automorphism of finite order, say  $|\alpha| = n$ . For each integer  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $R_{\alpha^i}$  the  $R$ -bimodule which coincides with  $R$  as an abelian group, but with bimodule structure given by  $r \cdot x := rx$  and  $x \cdot r := x\alpha^i(r)$  (where  $x \in R_{\alpha^i}$  and  $r \in R$ ). Note that as left (or as right)  $R$ -modules,  $R_{\alpha^i}$  and  $R$  are isomorphic, but they are in general *not* isomorphic as  $R$ -bimodules. For each right  $R$ -module  $M$  and integer  $i$ , define a new right  $R$ -module  $M_{\alpha^i}$  as follows: as abelian groups,  $M_{\alpha^i}$  is the same as  $M$ , however the right  $R$ -module structure on  $M_{\alpha^i}$  is given by  $x \cdot r := x\alpha^i(r)$ . Clearly  $M_{\alpha^n} = M$  and  $(M_{\alpha^i})_{\alpha^j} = M_{\alpha^{i+j}}$  as right  $R$ -modules. We could have defined  $M_{\alpha^i} = M \otimes_R R_{\alpha^i}$ , however this has the slight disadvantage that the above equalities would not hold – we would only have natural isomorphisms between the corresponding functors.

Let  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  denote the category of finitely generated right projective  $R$ -modules. For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is an exact functor  $S_i : \mathbf{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(R)$  given by  $S_i(P) := P_{\alpha^i}$  on objects and  $S_i(\phi) = \phi$

on morphisms. Note that if we forget about the right  $R$ -module structures, and just view these as abelian groups and group homomorphisms, then each  $S_i$  is just the identity functor. Clearly  $S_i \circ S_j = S_j \circ S_i = S_{i+j}$  and  $S_n = Id$ , so the map  $i \mapsto S_i$  defines a functorial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on the category  $\mathbf{P}(R)$ , which factors through a functorial  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -action (recall that  $n$  is the order of the ring automorphism  $\alpha$ ).

We are interested in the *Nil-category*  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ . Recall that objects of this category are of the form  $(P, f)$ , where  $P$  is an object in  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  and  $f : P \rightarrow P_\alpha = S_1(P)$  is a right  $R$ -module homomorphism which is *nilpotent*, in the sense that a high enough composite map of the following form is the zero map:

$$P \xrightarrow{S_{k-1}(f) \circ S_{k-2}(f) \circ \cdots \circ S_1(f) \circ f} P_{\alpha^k}$$

A morphism  $\phi : (P, f) \rightarrow (Q, g)$  in  $NIL(R; \alpha)$  is given by a morphism  $\phi : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  which makes the obvious diagram commutative, i.e.  $S_1(\phi) \circ f = g \circ \phi$ . We have two exact functors

$$F : NIL(R; \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(R), \quad F(P, f) = P$$

$$G : \mathbf{P}(R) \rightarrow NIL(R; \alpha), \quad G(P) = (P, 0)$$

which give rise to a splitting of the  $K$ -theory groups  $K_i(NIL(R; \alpha)) = K_i(R) \oplus Nil_i(R; \alpha)$ , where  $Nil_i(R; \alpha) := \text{Ker}(K_i(NIL(R; \alpha)) \rightarrow K_i(R))$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Remark 2.1.* The Farrell Nil-groups  $NK_*(R, \alpha)$  mentioned in the introduction coincide, with a dimension shift, with the groups  $Nil_*(R; \alpha^{-1})$  defined above. More precisely, one has for every  $i \geq 1$  an isomorphism  $NK_i(R, \alpha) \cong Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$  ([8, Theorem 2.1]).

We now introduce two exact functors on the category  $NIL(R; \alpha)$  which will play an important role in our proofs. On the level of  $K$ -theory, one of these yields the twisted analogue of the Verschiebung operators, while the other gives the classical Frobenius operators.

**Definition 2.2** (Twisted Verschiebung functors). For each positive integer  $m$ , define the twisted Verschiebung functors  $V_m : NIL(R; \alpha) \rightarrow NIL(R; \alpha)$  as follows. On objects, we set

$$V_m((P, f)) = (P \oplus P_{\alpha^{-1}} \oplus P_{\alpha^{-2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{\alpha^{-mn}}, \bar{f}) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{mn} P_{\alpha^{-i}}, \bar{f} \right) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{mn} S_{-i}(P), \bar{f} \right)$$

where the morphism

$$\bar{f} : \sum_{i=0}^{mn} P_{\alpha^{-i}} \longrightarrow \left( \sum_{j=0}^{mn} P_{\alpha^{-j}} \right)_\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{mn} P_{\alpha^{-j+1}}$$

is defined component-wise by the maps  $f_{ij} : P_{\alpha^{-i}} \rightarrow P_{\alpha^{-j+1}}$  given via the formula

$$f_{ij} = \begin{cases} id & \text{if } j = i + 1, 0 \leq i \leq mn - 1 \\ f & \text{if } i = mn, j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\bar{f}$  is nilpotent, so that  $V_m((P, f))$  does indeed define an object in the category  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ . If  $\phi : (P, f) \rightarrow (Q, g)$  is a morphism in the category  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ , we define the morphism

$$V_m(\phi) : \left( \sum_{i=0}^{mn} P_{\alpha^{-i}}, \bar{f} \right) \rightarrow \left( \sum_{i=0}^{mn} Q_{\alpha^{-i}}, \bar{g} \right)$$

via the formula  $V_m(\phi) = \sum_{i=0}^{mn} S_{-i}(\phi)$ . One checks that (i)  $\bar{g} \circ V_m(\phi) = S_1(V_m(\phi)) \circ \bar{f}$ , (ii)  $V_m(id) = id$  and (iii)  $V_m(\phi \circ \psi) = V_m(\phi) \circ V_m(\psi)$ , so that  $V_m$  is indeed a functor. Moreover,  $V_m$  is exact because each  $S_{-i}$  is exact.

**Definition 2.3** (Frobenius functors). For each positive integer  $m$ , define the Frobenius functors  $F_m : NIL(R; \alpha) \rightarrow NIL(R; \alpha)$  as follows. On objects, we set  $F_m((P, f)) = (P, \tilde{f})$  where  $\tilde{f}$  is the morphism defined by the composition

$$P \xrightarrow{S_{mn}(f) \circ S_{mn-1}(f) \circ \cdots \circ S_1(f) \circ f} P_{\alpha^{mn+1}} = P_\alpha$$

(recall that the ring automorphism  $\alpha$  has order  $|\alpha| = n$ ). It is immediate that the map  $\tilde{f}$  is nilpotent, so that  $F_m((P, f))$  is indeed an object in  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ . Now if  $\phi : (P, f) \rightarrow (Q, g)$  is a morphism in the category  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ , we define the morphism  $F_m(\phi) : (P, \tilde{f}) \rightarrow (Q, \tilde{g})$  to coincide with the morphism  $\phi$ . It is obvious that  $F_m(id) = id$  and  $F_m(\phi \circ \psi) = F_m(\phi) \circ F_m(\psi)$ , and one can easily check that  $\tilde{g} \circ \phi = S_1(\phi) \circ \tilde{f}$ , so that  $F_m$  is a genuine functor. Clearly  $F_m$  is exact.

**Definition 2.4** ( $\alpha$ -twisting functors). For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define the exact functor  $T_i : NIL(R; \alpha) \rightarrow NIL(R; \alpha)$  as follows. On objects, we set  $T_i((P, f)) = (S_{-i}(P), S_{-i}(f))$ , and if  $\phi : (P, f) \rightarrow (Q, g)$  is a morphism, we set  $T_i(\phi)$  to be the morphism  $S_{-i}(\phi) : S_{-i}(P) \rightarrow S_{-i}(Q)$ . Observe that, as with the functors  $S_i$  on the category  $\mathbf{P}(R)$ , the functors  $T_i$  define a functorial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on the category  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ , which factors through a functorial  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -action.

The relationship between these various functors is described in the following Lemma. We will write  $G_m$  for the composite exact functor  $G_m = F_m \circ V_m$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *We have the equality  $G_m = \sum_{i=0}^{mn} T_i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(P, f)$  be an object in  $NIL(R; \alpha)$ . Then we have  $G_m((P, f)) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{mn} S_{-i}(P), \tilde{\tilde{f}} \right)$ , where  $\tilde{\tilde{f}} = S_{mn}(\bar{f}) \circ S_{mn-1}(\bar{f}) \circ \cdots \circ S_1(\bar{f}) \circ \bar{f}$ . Note that if we forget the right  $R$ -module structures, each  $S_i$  is the identity functor on abelian groups. So as a morphism of abelian groups,  $\tilde{\tilde{f}} = \bar{f}^{mn+1}$ . Now recall that  $\bar{f}$  is a morphism which cyclicly permutes the  $mn + 1$  direct summands occuring in its source and target. Using this observation, it is then easy to see that  $\tilde{\tilde{f}} = \bar{f}^{mn+1}$  is diagonal and

equal to  $\sum_{i=0}^{mn} S_{-i}(f)$ . So on the level of objects,  $G_m$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{mn} T_i$  agree. It is obvious that they agree on morphisms.  $\square$

### 3. NON-FINITENESS OF FARRELL NILS

This section is devoted to proving **Theorem A**.

**3.1. A version of Farrell's Lemma.** We are now ready to establish our analogue of Farrell's key lemmas from his paper [5].

**Lemma 3.1.** *The following results hold:*

- (1)  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the induced morphisms  $K_j(V_m), K_j(F_m) : K_j(NIL(R; \alpha)) \rightarrow K_j(NIL(R; \alpha))$  on  $K$ -theory map the summand  $Nil_j(R; \alpha)$  to itself;
- (2)  $\forall j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the identity  $(2 + mn)K_j(G_m) - K_j(G_m)^2 = \mu_{1+mn}$  holds, where the map  $\mu_{1+mn}$  is multiplication by  $1 + mn$ ;
- (3)  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $x \in Nil_j(R; \alpha)$ , there exists a positive integer  $r(x)$ , such that  $K_j(F_m)(x) = 0$  for all  $m \geq r(x)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $H_m := \sum_{i=0}^{mn} S_{-i} : \mathbf{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(R)$ , one then easily checks  $F \circ V_m = H_m \circ F$ . We also have  $F \circ F_m = F$ . Statement (1) follows easily from these.

(2) By the Additivity Theorem for algebraic  $K$ -theory, Lemma 2.5 immediately gives us that

$$K_j(G_m) = \sum_{i=0}^{mn} K_j(T_i) = id + m \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i)$$

(recall that the functors  $T_i$  are  $n$ -periodic). Now let us evaluate the square of the map  $K_j(G_m)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} K_j(G_m)^2 &= \left( id + m \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i) \right) \left( id + m \sum_{l=1}^n K_j(T_l) \right) \\ &= id + 2m \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i) + m^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n K_j(T_{i+l}) \\ &= id + 2m \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i) + m^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n K_j(T_l) \\ &= id + 2m \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i) + m^2 n \sum_{l=1}^n K_j(T_l) \\ &= id + (2m + m^2 n) \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i) \end{aligned}$$

In the third equality above, we used the fact that the  $T_i$  functors are  $n$ -periodic, so that shifting the index on the inner sum by  $i$  leaves the sum unchanged. Finally, substituting in the expression we have for  $K_j(G_m)$  and the expression we derived for  $K_j(G_m)^2$ , we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} (2 + mn)K_j(G_m) - K_j(G_m)^2 &= (2 + mn)\left(id + m \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i)\right) - \left(id + (2m + m^2n) \sum_{i=1}^n K_j(T_i)\right) \\ &= (2 + mn)id - id = \mu_{(1+mn)} \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of statement (2).

(3) This result is due to Grunewald [10, Proposition 4.6].  $\square$

**3.2. Proof of Theorem A.** The proof of **Theorem A** now follows easily. Let us focus on the case where  $i \geq 1$ , as the case  $i \leq 1$  has already been established by Grunewald [9] and Ramos [19]. So let us assume that the Farrell Nil-group  $NK_i(R, \alpha) \cong Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$  is non-trivial and finitely generated, where  $i \geq 1$ . Then one can find arbitrarily large positive integers  $m$  with the property that the map  $\mu_{(1+mn)}$  is an injective map from  $Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$  to itself (for example, one can take  $m$  to be any multiple of the order of the torsion subgroup of  $Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ ). From Lemma 3.1(2), we can factor the map  $\mu_{(1+mn)}$  as a composite

$$\mu_{(1+mn)} = (\mu_{(2+mn)} - K_j(G_m)) \circ K_j(G_m)$$

and hence there is an arbitrarily large sequence of integers  $m$  with the property that the corresponding maps  $K_j(G_m) = K_j(F_m) \circ K_j(V_m)$  are injective. This implies that there are infinitely many integers  $m$  for which the map  $K_j(F_m)$  is non-zero.

On the other hand, let  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  be a finite set of generators for the abelian group  $Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ . Then from Lemma 3.1(3), we have that for any  $m \geq \max\{r(x_i)\}$ , the map  $K_j(F_m)$  is identically zero, a contradiction. This completes the proof of **Theorem A**.

#### 4. FINITE SUBGROUPS OF FARRELL NIL-GROUPS

**4.1. A Lemma on splittings.** In order to establish **Theorem B**, we will need an algebraic lemma for recognizing when two direct summands inside an ambient group jointly form a direct summand.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $H < G$ ,  $K < G$  be a pair of subgroups. Suppose that  $H \cap K = \{0\}$ , and that there are two retractions  $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$  and  $\rho : G \rightarrow K$  with the property that  $\lambda(K) = \{0\}$ . Then there exists a subgroup  $L < G$ , which is isomorphic to  $H$ , and such that  $L \oplus K$  is also a direct summand of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the homomorphism  $Id - \rho : G \rightarrow G$ . Let  $L = \{h - \rho(h) \mid h \in H\}$  be the image of  $H$  under this homomorphism. We first note that  $(Id - \rho)|_H : H \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism. It is certainly a surjection. Now suppose that  $h - \rho(h) = 0$  for some  $h \in H$ . Then  $h = \rho(h) \in K$ , which forces  $h \in H \cap K = \{0\}$ , and hence  $h = 0$ . This shows that  $Id - \rho|_H$  is also an injection. So we

now know that  $H \cong L$ . Next we observe that  $L \cap K = \{0\}$ . To see this, take any  $h - \rho(h) \in L \cap K$ . Then since  $\rho(h) \in K$ , we must also have  $h \in K$ . But then  $h \in H \cap K = \{0\}$ , forcing  $h = 0$  and hence  $h - \rho(h) = 0$ .

Now define  $\tau := (Id - \rho) \circ \lambda : G \rightarrow L$ . For any  $h - \rho(h) \in L$ , we have

$$\tau(h - \rho(h)) = (Id - \rho)(\lambda(h - \rho(h))) = (Id - \rho)(h) = h - \rho(h)$$

where the second equality holds because  $\lambda(h) = h$  (since  $h \in H$  and  $\lambda$  is a retraction onto  $H$ ) and  $\lambda(\rho(h)) = 0$  (since  $\rho(h) \in K$  and  $\lambda(K) = \{0\}$  by hypothesis). This verifies that the map  $\tau : G \rightarrow L$  is a retraction. Clearly  $\tau(K) = \{0\}$  because  $\lambda(K) = 0$ . We finally note that  $\rho(L) = 0$ , because  $\rho(h - \rho(h)) = \rho(h) - \rho(h) = 0$ . We thus have two orthogonal retractions  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ . Now define  $\sigma : G \rightarrow L \oplus K$  by  $\sigma(x) = (\tau(x), \rho(x))$ . Since  $L \cap K = \{0\}$  and  $\tau, \rho$  are orthogonal, one easily checks that  $\sigma$  is a retraction. Hence  $L \oplus K$  is a direct summand of  $G$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

**4.2. Proof of Theorem B.** We are now ready to prove **Theorem B**. In order to simplify the notation, we will simply write  $V_m$  for  $K_j(V_m)$ , and use a similar convention for  $F_m$  and  $G_m$ .

Case  $i \geq 1$ . We first consider the case when  $i \geq 1$ , and recall that  $NK_i(R, \alpha) \cong Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ . Let  $H < Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$  be a finite subgroup. According to Lemma 3.1(3), since  $H$  is finite, there exists an integer  $r(H) = \max_{x \in H} \{r(x)\}$ , so that  $F_m(H) = 0$  for all  $m > r(H)$ . Let  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  consist of all natural number  $m > r(H)$  such that  $\text{GCD}(1 + mn, |H|) = 1$ .  $S$  contains every multiple of  $|H|$  which is greater than  $r(H)$ , so is an infinite set. Consider the morphisms

$$Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{V_m} Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{F_m} Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$$

so that the composite is the morphism  $G_m$ , and define the subgroup  $H_m \leq Nil_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$  to be  $H_m := V_m(H)$ . By the defining property of the set  $S$ , we have that for  $m \in S$ ,  $(\mu_{2+mn} - G_m) \circ G_m = \mu_{1+mn}$  is an isomorphism when restricted to  $H$ . Hence  $G_m$  is a monomorphism when restricted to  $H$ , forcing  $V_m$  to also be a monomorphism when restricted to  $H$ . So for all  $m \in S$ , we see that  $H_m \cong H$ .

We now claim that there is an  $m \in S$ , so that  $H_m \cap H = \{0\}$ . Assume not. Then for all  $m \in S$ ,  $H_m \cap H \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $H$  contains only finitely many non-zero elements, and  $S$  is an infinite set, there is a non-zero  $x \in H$  and an infinite subset  $S' \subset S$ , such that  $x \in H_m \cap H$  holds for all  $m \in S'$ . For each  $m \in S'$ , there is  $y_m \in H$  so that  $V_m(y_m) = x$ . Again,  $H$  is finite, so we can find a single non-zero  $y \in H$  and an infinite subset  $S'' \subset S$  with the property that for all  $m \in S''$ , we have  $V_m(y) = x$ . Applying  $(\mu_{2+mn} - G_m)F_m$  to this equation, we obtain an infinite set of indices  $m$  with the property that

$$((\mu_{2+mn} - G_m)F_m V_m)(y) = (\mu_{2+mn} - G_m)(F_m(x))$$

Therefore

$$(\mu_{2+mn} - G_m)(F_m(x)) = (1 + mn)y$$



The right hand side of the equation is non-zero for all  $m \in S''$ , since  $y \in H$  and  $\text{GCD}(1+mn, |H|)=1$ . But since  $F_m(H) = 0$  for all  $m \in S$ , the left hand side vanishes, giving us a contradiction. We conclude that there must be an  $m$  so that  $H_m \cap H = \{0\}$  and  $H_m \cong H$ . Hence  $H \oplus H < \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ . Applying the same argument to  $H \oplus H$  and so on, we conclude  $\oplus_{\infty} H < \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ .

Next we claim that, if the original  $H$  was a direct summand in  $\text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ , then we can find a copy of  $H \oplus H$  is also a direct summand, and which *extends* the original direct summand (i.e. the first copy of  $H$  inside the direct summand  $H \oplus H$  coincides with the original  $H$ ). Suppose  $H < \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$  is a direct summand, so there exists a retraction  $\rho : \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \rightarrow H$ . Let  $H_m$  be obtained as above. We first construct a retraction  $\lambda : \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \rightarrow H_m$ . Recall that  $\mu_{1+mn}$  is an isomorphism on  $H_m$ , so there exists an integer  $l$  so that  $\mu_l \circ \mu_{1+mn}$  is the identity on  $H_m$ . We define  $\lambda : \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \rightarrow H_m$  to be the composition of the following maps:

$$\text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{F_m} \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\mu_{2+mn} - G_m} \text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\rho} H \xrightarrow{V_m|_H} H_m \xrightarrow{\mu_l} H_m$$

We claim that  $\lambda$  is a retraction onto  $H_m$ , i.e.  $\lambda(x) = x$  for all  $x \in H_m$ . Note that for  $x \in H_m$ , we can always find a  $y \in H$  so that  $x = V_m(y)$ . We now evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) &= (\mu_l \circ V_m \circ \rho \circ (\mu_{2+mn} - G_m) \circ F_m)(x) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ V_m \circ \rho \circ (\mu_{2+mn} - G_m) \circ F_m)(V_m(y)) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ V_m \circ \rho \circ (\mu_{2+mn} - G_m) \circ G_m)(y) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ V_m \circ \rho \circ ((2+mn)G_m - G_m^2))(y) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ V_m \circ \rho \circ \mu_{1+mn})(y) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ \mu_{1+mn})((V_m \circ \rho)(y)) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ \mu_{1+mn})(V_m(y)) \\ &= (\mu_l \circ \mu_{1+mn})(x) \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

This verifies  $\lambda$  is a retraction. Note also that  $\lambda(H) = 0$ , since  $F_m(H) = 0$  follows from the fact that  $m \in S$  (recall that integers in  $S$  are larger than  $r(H)$ ). Hence we are in the situation of Lemma 4.1, and we can conclude that  $H \oplus H$  also arises as a direct summand of  $\text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ . Note that, when applying our Lemma 4.1, we replaced the *second copy*  $H_m$  of  $H$  by some other (isomorphic) subgroup, but kept the *first copy* of  $H$  to be the original  $H$ . Hence the direct summand  $H \oplus H$  does indeed extend the original summand  $H$ . Iterating the process, we obtain that  $\oplus_{\infty} H$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Nil}_{i-1}(R; \alpha^{-1})$ . This completes the proof of **Theorem B** in the case where  $i \geq 1$ .

Case  $i \leq 1$ . Next, let us consider the case of the Farrell Nil-groups  $NK_i(R, \alpha^{-1})$  where  $i \leq 1$ . For these, the proof of **Theorem B** proceeds via a (descending) induction on  $i$ , with the case  $i = 1$  having been established above.



We remind the reader of the standard technique for extending results known for  $K_1$  to lower  $K$ -groups. Take the ring  $\Lambda\mathbb{Z}$  consisting of all  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$  which contain only finitely many non-zero entries in each row and each column, and quotient out by the ideal  $I \triangleleft \Lambda\mathbb{Z}$  consisting of all matrices which vanish outside of a finite block. This gives the ring  $\Sigma\mathbb{Z} = \Lambda\mathbb{Z}/I$ , and we can now define the *suspension functor* on the category of rings by sending a ring  $R$  to the ring  $\Sigma(R) := \Sigma\mathbb{Z} \otimes R$ . The functor  $\Sigma$  has the property that there are natural isomorphisms  $K_i(R) \cong K_{i+1}(\Sigma(R))$  (for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Moreover, there is a natural isomorphism  $\Sigma(R_\alpha[t]) \cong (\Sigma R)_{Id \otimes \alpha}[t]$ , which induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i(R_\alpha[t]) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & K_i(R) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_{i+1}((\Sigma\mathbb{Z} \otimes R)_{Id \otimes \alpha}[t]) & \longrightarrow & K_{i+1}(\Sigma\mathbb{Z} \otimes R) \end{array}$$

By induction, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , this allows us to identify  $NK_{1-m}(R, \alpha)$  with  $NK_1(\Sigma^m R, Id^{\otimes m} \otimes \alpha)$ , where  $\Sigma^m$  denotes the  $m$ -fold application of the functor  $\Sigma$ . Obviously, if the automorphism  $\alpha$  has finite order in  $\text{Aut}(R)$ , the induced automorphism  $Id^{\otimes m} \otimes \alpha$  will have finite order in  $\text{Aut}((\Sigma\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes m} \otimes R)$ . So for the Farrell Nil-groups  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$  with  $i \leq 0$ , the result immediately follows from the special case of  $NK_1$  considered above. This completes the proof of **Theorem B**.

## 5. NILS ASSOCIATED TO VIRTUALLY CYCLIC GROUPS

In this section, we discuss some applications and establish **Theorem C**. For a general ring  $R$ , we know by **Theorem A** that a non-trivial Nil-group is an *infinitely generated* abelian group. While finitely generated abelian groups have a very nice structural theory, the picture is much more complicated in the infinitely generated case (the reader can consult [20, Chapter 4] for an overview of the theory). If one restricts to abelian (torsion) groups of *finite exponent*, then it is an old result of Prüfer [18] that any such group is a direct sum of cyclic groups (see [20, item 4.3.5 on pg. 105] for a proof).

**5.1. Integral group rings over finite groups.** We can now explain how our **Theorem B** allows us to obtain a structure theorem for certain Nil-groups.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists a finite abelian group  $H$ , whose exponent divides  $|G|$ , with the property that  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \alpha) \cong \bigoplus_\infty H$ .*

*Proof.* When  $R = \mathbb{Z}G$  is the integral group ring of a finite group  $G$ , one knows that the abelian group  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \alpha)$  is a countably generated, torsion group, with exponent bounded above by  $|G|$  ([14, Theorem 2.2], see also [2]). By the result of Prüfer mentioned above, it follows that  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \alpha)$  decomposes as a countable direct sum of cyclic groups of prime power order, each of which appears with some multiplicity. In view of our **Theorem B**, any summand which occurs must actually

occur infinitely many times. Since the exponent of the Nil-group divides  $|G|$ , there is an upper bound on the prime power orders that can appear, and hence there are only finitely many possible isomorphism types of summands. Let  $H$  be the direct sum of a single copy of each cyclic group of prime power order which appear as a summand in  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \alpha)$ . It follows immediately that  $\bigoplus_{\infty} H \cong NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.2.* As an example, Weibel [22] showed that  $NK_1(\mathbb{Z}D_4) \neq 0$ , where  $D_4$  denotes the dihedral group of order 8. He also constructs a surjection  $\bigoplus_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4) \rightarrow NK_1(\mathbb{Z}D_4)$ , showing that this group has exponent 2 or 4. It follows from our Corollary that the group  $NK_1(\mathbb{Z}D_4)$  is isomorphic to one of the three groups  $\bigoplus_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4)$ ,  $\bigoplus_{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_4$ , or  $\bigoplus_{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**5.2. Farrell-Jones Isomorphism Conjecture.** In applications to geometric topology, the rings of interest are typically integral group rings  $\mathbb{Z}G$ . For computations of the  $K$ -theory of such groups, the key tool is provided by the ( $K$ -theoretic) *Farrell-Jones Isomorphism Conjecture*, which we now briefly explain. There is a generalized equivariant homology theory  $h_*^?(-)$  which has the property that, for any group  $G$ , the  $G$ -equivariant homology evaluated on any coset  $h_n^G(G/H)$  is isomorphic to  $K_n(\mathbb{Z}H)$  (for all  $H < G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $h_*^?(-)$  is uniquely determined, up to natural isomorphisms, by these values. In particular, on a point,  $h_n^G(*) = h_n^G(G/G) = K_n(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . Applying this homology theory to any  $G$ -CW-complex  $X$ , the obvious  $G$ -map  $X \rightarrow *$  gives rise to an *assembly map*:

$$h_n^G(X) \rightarrow h_n^G(*) \cong K_n(\mathbb{Z}G).$$

The Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjecture asserts that, when the space  $X$  is a model for the classifying space for  $G$ -actions with isotropy in the virtually cyclic subgroups of  $G$ , then the above assembly map is an isomorphism. Thus, the conjecture roughly predicts that the  $K$ -theory of an integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}G$  is determined by the  $K$ -theory of the integral group rings of the virtually cyclic subgroups of  $G$ , assembled together in some homological fashion.

In view of this conjecture, one can view the  $K$ -theory of virtually cyclic groups as the “basic building blocks” for the  $K$ -theory of general groups. Focusing on such a virtually cyclic group  $V$ , one can consider the portion of the  $K$ -theory that comes from the finite subgroups of  $V$ . This would be the image of the assembly map:

$$h_n^V(\underline{E}V) \rightarrow h_n^V(*) \cong K_n(\mathbb{Z}V)$$

where  $\underline{E}V$  is a model for the classifying space for proper  $V$ -actions. While this map is always split injective (see [1]), it is not surjective in general. Thus to understand the  $K$ -theory of a virtually cyclic group, we need to understand the  $K$ -theory of finite groups, and to understand the cokernels of the above assembly map. The cokernels of the above assembly map can also be interpreted as the obstruction to reduce the family of virtually cyclic groups used in the Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjecture to the family of finite groups - this is the *transitivity principle* (see [6, Theorem A.10]). Our **Theorem C** gives some structure for the cokernel of the assembly map.

**5.3. Proof of Theorem C.** Let  $V$  be a virtually cyclic group. Then one has that  $V$  is either of the form (i)  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $G$  is a finite group and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , or is of the form (ii)  $V = G_1 *_H G_2$ , where  $G_i, H$  are finite groups and  $H$  is of index two in both  $G_i$ .

Let us first consider case (i). In this case, the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[V]$  is isomorphic to the ring  $R_{\hat{\alpha}}[t, t^{-1}]$ , the  $\hat{\alpha}$ -twisted ring of Laurent polynomials over the coefficient ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ , where  $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  is the ring automorphism canonically induced by the group automorphism  $\alpha$ . Then it is known (see [4, Lemma 3.1]) that the cokernel we are interested in consists of the direct sum of the Farrell Nil-group  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \hat{\alpha})$  and the Farrell Nil-group  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}G, \hat{\alpha}^{-1})$ . Applying Corollary 5.1 to these two Farrell Nil-groups, we are done.

In case (ii), we note that  $V$  has a canonical surjection onto the infinite dihedral group  $D_{\infty} = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$ , obtained by surjecting each  $G_i$  onto  $G_i/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Taking the preimage of the canonical index two subgroup  $\mathbb{Z} \leq D_{\infty}$ , we obtain a canonical index two subgroup  $W \leq V$ . The subgroup  $W$  is a virtually cyclic group of type (i), and is of the form  $H \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(H)$ . Hence it has associated Farrell Nil-groups  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}H, \hat{\alpha})$ .

The cokernel of the relative assembly map for the group  $V$  is a *Waldhausen Nil-group* associated to the splitting of  $V$  (see [4, Lemma 3.1]). It was recently shown that this Waldhausen Nil-group is always isomorphic to a single copy of the Farrell Nil-group  $NK_i(\mathbb{Z}H, \hat{\alpha})$  associated to the canonical index two subgroup  $W \leq V$  (see for example [3], [4], or for an earlier result in a similar vein [15]). Again, combining this with our Corollary 5.1, we are done, completing the proof of **Theorem C**.

## 6. APPLICATIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

We conclude this short note with some applications and some remarks.

**6.1. Waldhausen's A-theory.** Recall that Waldhausen [21] introduced a notion of algebraic  $K$ -theory  $A(X)$  of a topological space  $X$ . Once the  $K$ -theoretic contribution has been split off, one is left with the finitely dominated version of the algebraic  $K$ -theory  $A^{fd}(X)$ . This finitely dominated version satisfies the “fundamental theorem of algebraic  $K$ -theory”, in that one has a homotopy splitting:

$$(1) \quad A^{fd}(X \times S^1) \simeq A^{fd}(X) \times BA^{fd}(X) \times NA_+^{fd}(X) \times NA_-^{fd}(X)$$

see [12] (the reader should compare this with the corresponding fundamental theorem of algebraic  $K$ -theory for rings, see [7]). The Nil-terms appearing in this splitting have been studied by Grunewald, Klein, and Macko [11], who defined Frobenius and Verschiebung operations,  $F_n, V_n$ , on the homotopy groups  $\pi_*(NA_{\pm}^{fd}(X))$ . In particular, they show that the composite  $V_n \circ F_n$  is multiplication by  $n$  [11, Proposition 5.1], and that for any element  $x \in \pi_i(NA_{\pm}^{fd}(X))$  of finite order, one has  $F_n(x) = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  (see the discussion in [11, pg. 334, Proof of Theorem 1.1]). Since these two properties are the only ones used in our proofs, an argument identical to the proof of **Theorem B** gives the:

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary space, and let  $NA_{\pm}^{fd}(X)$  be the associated Nil-spaces arising in the fundamental theorem of algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces. Then if  $H \leq \pi_i(NA_{\pm}^{fd}(X))$  is any finite subgroup, then*

$$\bigoplus_{\infty} H \leq \pi_i(NA_{\pm}^{fd}(X)).$$

*Moreover, if  $H$  is a direct summand in  $\pi_i(NA_{\pm}^{fd}(X))$ , then so is  $\bigoplus_{\infty} H$ .*

*Remark 6.2.* An interesting question is whether there exists a “twisted” version of the splitting in equation (1), which applies to bundles  $X \rightarrow W \rightarrow S^1$  over the circle (or more generally, to approximate fibrations over the circle), and provides a homotopy splitting of the corresponding  $A^{fd}(W)$  in terms of spaces attached to  $X$  and the holonomy map.

**6.2. Cokernels of assembly maps.** For a general group  $G$ , one would expect from the Farrell-Jones isomorphism Conjectures that the cokernel of the relative assembly map for  $G$  should be “built up”, in a homological manner, from the cokernels of the relative assembly maps of the various virtually cyclic subgroups of  $G$  (see for example [16] for an instance of this phenomenon). In view of our **Theorem C**, the following question seems relevant:

**Question:** Can one find a group  $G$ , an index  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and a finite subgroup  $H$ , with the property that  $H$  embeds in  $\text{CoKer}\left(h_i^G(\underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_i(\mathbb{Z}[G])\right)$ , but  $\bigoplus_{\infty} H$  does not?

In other words, we are asking whether contributions from the various Nil-groups of the virtually cyclic subgroups of  $G$  could *partially cancel out in a cofinite manner*. Note the following special case of this question: is there an example for which this cokernel is a non-trivial finite group?

**6.3. Exotic Farrell Nil-groups.** Our Corollary 5.1 establish that Farrell Nil-groups associated to finite groups, while infinitely generated, still remain reasonably tame, i.e. are countable direct sums of a fixed finite group. In contrast, for a general ring  $R$  (or even, a general integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}G$ ), all we know about the non-trivial Farrell Nil-groups is that they are infinitely generated abelian groups. Of course, this *a priori* allows many strange possibilities, for example the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or the Prüfer  $p$ -group  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  consisting of all complex  $p^i$ -roots of unity ( $i \geq 0$ ). We can ask:

**Question:** Can one find a ring  $R$ , automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(R)$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $NK_i(R, \alpha) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ ? How about  $NK_i(R, \alpha) \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ ? What about if we require the ring to be an integral group ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}G$ ?

Note that, in full generality, we do not even know whether there are any constraints on the cardinality of the Farrell Nil-groups, or on the order of its elements.

**Question:** What conditions on the ring  $R$ , automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(R)$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are sufficient to ensure  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$  is countable? What conditions are enough to ensure  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$  is torsion? If

$R = \mathbb{Z}G$ , where  $G$  is a finitely generated group, and  $\alpha$  is induced by a group automorphism, is the corresponding  $NK_i(R, \alpha)$  a countable torsion group?

Finally, while this paper completes our understanding of the finiteness properties of Farrell Nil-groups associated with *finite order* ring automorphisms, nothing seems to be known about the Nil-groups associated with *infinite order* ring automorphisms. This seems like an obvious direction for further research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. C. Bartels, *On the domain of the assembly map in algebraic K-theory*. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **3** (2003), 1037–1050.
- [2] F. X. Connolly and S. Prassidis, *On the exponent of  $NK_0$ -groups of virtually infinite cyclic groups*. *Bull. Can. Math. Soc.* **45** (2002), 180–195.
- [3] J. F. Davis, Q. Khan, and A. Ranicki, *Algebraic K-theory over the infinite dihedral group: an algebraic approach*. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **11** (2011), 2391–2436.
- [4] J. F. Davis, F. Quinn, and H. Reich, *Algebraic K-theory over the infinite dihedral group: a controlled topology approach*. *J. Topology* **4** (2011), 505–528.
- [5] F. T. Farrell, *The nonfiniteness of Nil*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1977), 215–216.
- [6] F. T. Farrell and L. Jones, *Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic K-theory*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 249–297.
- [7] D. Grayson, *Higher algebraic K-theory. II (after Daniel Quillen)*, in “Algebraic K-theory, Proc. Conf., Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, 1976”. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **551** (Springer, Berlin, 1976), 217–240.
- [8] D. Grayson, *The K-theory of semilinear endomorphisms*, *J. Algebra* **113** (1988), 358–372.
- [9] J. Grunewald, *Non-finiteness results for nil-groups*. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **7** (2007), 1979–1986.
- [10] J. Grunewald, *The behavior of Nil-groups under localization and the relative assembly map*. *Topology* **47** (2008), 160–202.
- [11] J. Grunewald, J. Klein and T. Macko, *Operations on the A-theoretic nil-terms*. *J. Topology* **1** (2008), 317–341.
- [12] T. Hüttemann, J. Klein, W. Vogell, F. Waldhausen and B. Williams, *The fundamental theorem for the algebraic K-theory of spaces. I*. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **160** (2001) 21–52.
- [13] W. van der Kallen, *Generators and relations in algebraic K-theory* in “Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978)” (*Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki*, 1980), 305–310.
- [14] A. Kuku and G. Tang, *Higher K-theory of group-rings of virtually infinite cyclic groups*. *Math. Ann.* **325** (2003), 711–726.
- [15] J.-F. Lafont and I. J. Ortiz, *Relating the Farrell Nil-groups to the Waldhausen Nil-groups*. *Forum Math.* **20** (2008), 445–455.
- [16] J.-F. Lafont and I. J. Ortiz, *Splitting formulas for certain Waldhausen Nil-groups*. *J. London Math. Soc.* **79** (2009), 309–322.
- [17] A. V. Prasolov, *The nonfiniteness of the group Nil*. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **32** (1982), no. 1, 124. English translation: *Math. Notes* **32** (1982), 484–485.
- [18] H. Prüfer, *Untersuchungen über die Zerlegbarkeit der abzählbaren primären Abelschen Gruppen*. (German) *Math. Z.* **17** (1923), 35 – 61.
- [19] R. Ramos, *Non-finiteness of twisted nils*. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (3) **13** (2007), 55–64.
- [20] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, *Graduate Texts in Math.* **80**, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

- [21] F. Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, in “Algebraic and geometric topology, New Brunswick, NJ, 1983”. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1126** (Springer, Berlin, 1985), 318–419.
- [22] C. A. Weibel,  *$NK_0$  and  $NK_1$  of the groups  $C_4$  and  $D_4$* , Addendum to “Lower algebraic K-theory of hyperbolic 3-simplex reflection groups” by J.-F. Lafont and I. J. Ortiz. Comment. Math. Helv., **84** (2009), 339–349

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO, 43210 U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* `jlafont@math.ohio-state.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE AEGEAN, KARLOVASSI, SAMOS, 83200 GREECE

*E-mail address:* `prasside@aegean.gr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO, 43210 U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* `kwang@math.ohio-state.edu`